

To: Peck, Charles[Peck.Charles@epa.gov]
From: Corbin, Mark
Sent: Fri 5/26/2017 12:04:34 PM
Subject: two things

Got the word from Marietta yesterday that she is good with issuing the Daily vs Instantaneous memo. She got the okie dokie from all her outreach across OPP

Next week is fine. Work with Karen McCormick on process for getting it to Marietta for signature and posting though what will look like remains to be seen.

Also, I wasn't sure if you were tracking on the GMO wetland exposure issue but I have a question. How hard would it be to get a time series of the individual edge of field runoff events from the PWC? I think we played with this for the ESA work but don't recall. My thinking is if the biologists can define a window of relevance for the species of concern we might be able to focus on the loading coming from that window. If we had the individual loadings maybe we can move off of the total annual load vs total applied to just the load over a specified window vs total applied.

The other thing I was thinking about is characterization along lines Andrew did for malathion where he found that a pretty good percentage of applications were co-occurring with rain events. That might be another characterization that could help

Let's talk next week about these two options and other approaches that might help with the current issue

Have a good weekend

Mark Corbin
Chief, Environmental Risk Branch 6

USEPA/OCSP/OPP/EFED

1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW,

Mail Code 7507P

Washington DC 20460